p–ISSN: 2723 - 6609 e-ISSN : 2745-5254
Vol. 6, 11. November 2025
http://jist.publikasiindonesia.id/

Cross-Cultural Technology Adaptation in Global Video Consultation Platforms: Language, Interface Design, and Cultural User Experience

Septien Dwi Savandha
Universidad Tecnológica Latinoamericana en Línea (UTEL), Mexico
Email : dwisavandha9@gmail.com

Keywords:
cross-cultural adaptation; interface design; telemedicine; user experience; video consultation; virtual healthcare

Info Article
Accepted: 2025-09-25
Revised: 2025-10-26
Approved: 2025-11-27

ABSTRACT

The globalization of video consultation platforms has revealed critical gaps in cross-cultural technology adaptation, with linguistic and cultural barriers significantly impeding equitable access to healthcare. This research investigated how cultural and linguistic factors influence user experience with video consultation platforms across diverse global contexts. A qualitative phenomenological approach was employed, utilizing purposive sampling to recruit 45 participants from six countries (Indonesia, Japan, Lebanon, India, Germany, and Brazil), each representing distinct cultural dimensions. Data collection was conducted through semi-structured interviews (45–60 minutes) and think-aloud protocol sessions (n = 18) between March and September 2024. Reflexive thematic analysis, following Braun and Clarke's framework, was applied, achieving intercoder reliability (κ = 0.87), with data saturation confirmed at 38 participants. Five primary themes emerged: linguistic accessibility barriers affected 82.2% of non-English-speaking participants, while difficulties translating medical terminology ranged from 57.1% to 87.5% across countries. Participants from collectivist cultures (82.6%) preferred family-inclusive features, whereas those from individualist cultures (80%) favored individual-focused interfaces. Participants from high-context communication cultures required 47% more time to complete tasks. Privacy priorities varied substantially, reflecting cultural specificity in trust-formation mechanisms. Visual design preferences also differed markedly in color symbolism, information density (42%–78% preferred greater screen coverage), and icon recognition rates (37.5%–87.5%). Cultural and linguistic factors fundamentally shape the usability of video consultation platforms across multiple dimensions. These findings challenge universal design paradigms, establishing cultural responsiveness as essential for the equitable deployment of telemedicine. Platform developers must integrate comprehensive cultural adaptation measures, including linguistic localization, accommodation of communication patterns, culturally appropriate privacy frameworks, and customization of visual design.

INTRODUCTION

The rapid global expansion of video consultation technology has fundamentally transformed healthcare delivery, particularly in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, which catalyzed unprecedented adoption rates across diverse geographical and cultural contexts (Ghandour et al., 2021). While telemedicine platforms offer substantial potential to bridge healthcare access gaps, mounting evidence suggests that cultural and linguistic diversity present critical barriers to equitable utilization and optimal user

experience. The globalization of these digital health platforms necessitates careful consideration of cross-cultural adaptation strategies, as technological solutions designed within monocultural frameworks often fail to accommodate the diverse communication patterns, linguistic requirements, and cultural expectations of international user populations.

Recent research highlights disparities in video consultation adoption and effectiveness across cultures and languages. Harris et al. (2021) found that mobile consulting in low- and middle-income countries faces challenges arising from cultural health beliefs, linguistic diversity, and technological familiarity. Success requires cultural adaptation beyond translation, including adjustments to interaction styles, visual design, and communication norms. Similarly, Medjani and Barnes (2021) observed that social CRM systems in North Africa must account for cultural factors such as collectivism, power distance, and uncertainty avoidance, which significantly influence user perceptions and engagement with digital platforms.

Furthermore, Klochan et al. (2021) examined digital platform transformation in strategic consulting within public administration and found that successful technology adoption across different national contexts requires a comprehensive understanding of cultural governance structures, communication hierarchies, and institutional norms that shape user interaction with digital systems. Their research emphasized that platforms developed without cultural contextualization often face resistance or underutilization, regardless of technical sophistication, particularly when interface design, terminology, or operational logic conflicts with users' cultural expectations and communication practices.

Despite new insights, key gaps remain in understanding how cultural factors influence user experience in video consultation platforms. Most research focuses on general telemedicine barriers rather than exploring how interface design, language accessibility, and cultural communication patterns interact to affect satisfaction and engagement across cultures. Current studies often examine Western systems or regional cases, with few global comparisons illustrating how cultural dimensions shape user experiences. There is a growing need for frameworks that guide cross-cultural technology adaptation, especially as healthcare providers deploy standardized telemedicine solutions for diverse populations. Although language barriers are well documented, there remains limited research on designing platforms that incorporate multilingual interfaces, culturally appropriate visuals, and region-specific interaction styles. The complex relationship between technology, culture, and language is underexplored, restricting strategies for developing culturally sensitive global video systems. This gap can exacerbate inequities, lower satisfaction, impair communication, and ultimately hinder telemedicine's potential to promote health equity.

This study explores how cultural and linguistic factors shape user experience in video consultation platforms worldwide. Its objectives are threefold: to identify the key cultural dimensions affecting user perceptions; to analyze how language, design, and protocols can be adapted to different cultural contexts; and to develop a framework for

culturally responsive platform design that enhances user experience and clinical effectiveness across diverse populations.

The significance of this research extends beyond theoretical contributions to the field of cultural technology adaptation. As healthcare systems worldwide increasingly rely on video consultation platforms to expand access, reduce costs, and maintain service continuity, implementing culturally appropriate design becomes essential to achieving health equity goals. This study provides actionable insights for platform developers, healthcare administrators, and policymakers seeking to deploy telemedicine solutions that effectively serve culturally and linguistically diverse populations. By elucidating the relationships between cultural factors, user experience, and technology design, this research contributes to the broader goal of building inclusive digital health ecosystems that respect cultural diversity while leveraging technological innovation to improve healthcare accessibility and quality on a global scale.

METHOD

This qualitative study employed a multi-method interpretive phenomenological approach to explore cross-cultural user experiences with video consultation platforms worldwide. Data were collected from March to September 2024 following IRB approval (Protocol No. IRB-2024-HC-089). Purposive sampling recruited 45 participants from Indonesia (n = 8), Japan (n = 7), Lebanon (n = 8), India (n = 7), Germany (n = 8), and Brazil (n = 7), representing diverse cultural dimensions. Participants were adults (≥18 years) who had used video consultation at least three times within six months and were digitally literate. Semi-structured interviews (45–60 minutes) were conducted via secure video platforms in participants' native languages by culturally matched interviewers, with translation procedures ensuring semantic accuracy.

The interview guide, developed based on cultural dimensions and technology acceptance frameworks, covered themes such as linguistic accessibility, interface design, cultural communication, trust and privacy, and user satisfaction. Additional observational data were obtained from think-aloud sessions (n = 18), in which participants navigated three major platforms while verbalizing usability challenges. Interviews were recorded, transcribed, and thematically analyzed using Braun and Clarke's six-phase framework. Two coders achieved intercoder reliability ($\kappa = 0.87$), resolving discrepancies through discussion. Data saturation was reached after 38 participants, but recruitment continued to ensure adequate cultural diversity. Trustworthiness was maintained through member checks and the establishment of an audit trail to ensure transparency.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The thematic analysis of 45 cross-cultural interviews revealed five primary dimensions influencing user experience with video consultation platforms across the six participating countries. Data saturation was achieved at participant 38, with the remaining seven interviews confirming thematic stability. The findings are organized

according to the major themes identified through the analytical process, presented objectively without interpretation.

Linguistic Accessibility and Interface Language Preferences

Participants from all six countries (n=45, 100%) identified language accessibility as a critical factor affecting their platform experience. Among non-English speaking participants (n=37, 82.2%), 32 (86.5%) reported difficulties navigating platforms with English-only interfaces. Indonesian participants (n=8) specifically mentioned challenges with medical terminology translation, with 7 (87.5%) expressing preference for Bahasa Indonesia interface options with culturally appropriate medical term localization. Japanese participants (n=7) universally (100%) indicated that character encoding issues affected their ability to input patient information correctly, particularly for names and addresses using kanji characters. Lebanese participants (n=8) noted that right-to-left text support was absent or poorly implemented in 6 of 8 (75%) evaluated platforms, creating significant usability barriers for Arabic language users.

Table 1 presents the distribution of language-related usability challenges across participant groups, categorized by specific barrier types and their frequency of occurrence.

Table 1. Linguistic Accessibility Barriers by Country and Barrier Type

Country (n)	Interface Language n (%)	Medical Terminology n (%)	Text Encoding n (%)	RTL Support n (%)
Indonesia (8)	6 (75.0)	7 (87.5)	2 (25.0)	0 (0.0)
Japan (7)	5 (71.4)	6 (85.7)	7 (100.0)	0 (0.0)
Lebanon (8)	7 (87.5)	5 (62.5)	1 (12.5)	6 (75.0)
India (7)	4 (57.1)	5 (71.4)	3 (42.9)	0 (0.0)
Germany (8)	3 (37.5)	2 (25.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Brazil (7)	5 (71.4)	4 (57.1)	1 (14.3)	0 (0.0)

Source: Primary data collected through semi-structured interviews and think-aloud protocol sessions, 2024

Cultural Communication Patterns and Interface Design Preferences

Distinct cultural communication preferences emerged across participant groups, particularly regarding directness of information presentation and visual design elements. Participants from collectivist cultures (Indonesia, Japan, Lebanon; n=23) showed preference for interface designs incorporating family involvement features, with 19 (82.6%) indicating desire for multi-participant video capabilities and shared decision-making interfaces. In contrast, individualist culture participants (Germany, Brazil; n=15) predominantly (n=12, 80%) preferred streamlined, individual-focused interfaces with minimal collaborative features. Japanese participants (n=7) universally expressed preference for formal, hierarchical communication protocols within the platform, requesting features such as honorific language options and structured turn-taking indicators. Think-aloud protocol sessions revealed that high-context communication culture participants (Japan, Lebanon; n=15) required 47% more time (mean=8.3 minutes, SD=1.2) to complete identical navigation tasks compared to low-context culture participants (Germany; n=8, mean=5.6 minutes, SD=0.9), attributed to their preference for exploring contextual information before action execution.

Privacy Perceptions and Trust Mechanisms

Privacy concerns varied substantially across cultural contexts. German participants (n=8) demonstrated highest sensitivity to data protection, with all 8 (100%) requiring explicit GDPR compliance indicators before platform usage. Indonesian and Indian participants (n=15) prioritized family privacy over individual privacy, with 13 (86.7%) expressing concern about preventing unauthorized family member access rather than institutional data collection. Lebanese participants (n=8) exhibited heightened concern regarding video recording permissions, with 7 (87.5%) refusing platforms lacking clear visual indicators of recording status. Trust-building mechanisms showed cultural variation: Brazilian participants (n=7) indicated that platform endorsement by trusted healthcare institutions increased acceptance by 71.4% (n=5), while Japanese participants (n=7) valued platform longevity and established user base as primary trust indicators.

Visual Design Elements and Aesthetic Preferences

Color symbolism and visual metaphors showed significant cross-cultural variation. Table 2 summarizes the culturally-specific design preferences identified across participant groups. Indian participants (n=7) associated white color schemes with medical sterility but found them culturally inauspicious for health contexts, preferring warmer color palettes. Japanese participants (n=7) expressed preference for minimalist interfaces with substantial white space (mean preferred screen coverage: 42%, SD=8%), contrasting with Brazilian participants (n=7) who favored information-dense displays (mean: 78%, SD=6%). Icon interpretation varied considerably; medical symbols universally recognized in Western contexts showed poor recognition rates among Indonesian participants, with only 3 of 8 (37.5%) correctly interpreting the Rod of Asclepius symbol.

Table 2. *Cultural Variations in Visual Design Preferences and Interface Elements*

Country	Preferred Color Palette	Information Density Preference (%)	Icon Recognition Rate (%)
Indonesia	Warm (green, gold)	68 (moderate-high)	37.5 (low)
Japan	Neutral (white, grey)	42 (low)	71.4 (moderate)
Lebanon	Blue, green	62 (moderate)	50.0 (moderate)
India	Orange, saffron, gold	75 (high)	42.9 (low)
Germany	Clinical white, blue	58 (moderate)	87.5 (high)
Brazil	Vibrant (green, yellow)	78 (high)	71.4 (moderate)

Source: Primary qualitative data analysis based on cross-cultural user experience research, 2024

Technology Literacy and Platform Navigation Patterns

Digital literacy levels influenced navigation strategies and feature utilization. Participants with lower self-reported technology confidence (n=18, 40%) demonstrated preference for guided, linear navigation paths with explicit instructions at each step. Higher technology confidence participants (n=27, 60%) preferred flexible, non-linear navigation with minimal instructional text. Cultural differences emerged in help-seeking behaviors: Asian participants (Indonesia, Japan, India; n=22) showed reluctance to utilize help features, with only 6 (27.3%) accessing help documentation during think-

aloud sessions, compared to European and Latin American participants (Germany, Brazil; n=15) where 11 (73.3%) actively consulted help resources when encountering difficulties.

Linguistic Accessibility as a Fundamental Determinant of Platform Usability

The study demonstrates that linguistic accessibility is essential for equitable video consultation use across cultures. Language barriers (82.2% of non-English speakers) exceeded previous estimates and aligned with reviews showing that language concordance significantly influences healthcare technology acceptance (Harris et al., 2021). The high rate of translation difficulties among Indonesian (87.5%) and Japanese (85.7%) participants indicates that generic translation fails to capture domain-specific and culturally embedded health concepts. This supports Medjani and Barnes' (2021) findings on the necessity of cultural adaptation, suggesting that simple interface translation without contextual medical localization perpetuates accessibility barriers and may hinder clinical communication. Reports from Japanese participants about character encoding issues reveal a technical dimension of linguistic accessibility that is often overlooked, highlighting the need to address infrastructure constraints to enable genuine cross-cultural telemedicine deployment.

Healthcare organizations utilizing video consultation platforms for multicultural populations must prioritize comprehensive linguistic localization—including medical terminology, culturally appropriate health communication, and technical infrastructure supporting diverse character encodings. Telemedicine regulations should mandate multilingual accessibility as a licensing condition rather than leaving it optional, especially in linguistically diverse regions. This study examined language barriers using self-reports, which may introduce bias. Future research should incorporate quantitative usability testing, including task completion and error rate metrics across languages, to assess the impact of linguistic accessibility on clinical outcomes.

Cultural Communication Patterns and Interface Design Paradigms

The substantial divergence in communication preferences between collectivist and individualist cultural contexts (82.6% and 80%, respectively, favoring culturally aligned features) provides empirical support for applying Hofstede's cultural dimensions theory to healthcare technology design. The preference for family-inclusive features among collectivist participants contrasts sharply with Western-centric platform models that presume individual patient autonomy and privacy as universal values. This finding challenges the widespread deployment of standardized telemedicine platforms without cultural adaptation mechanisms, which may explain differential adoption rates in international telemedicine initiatives (Klochan et al., 2021). The 47% longer task completion time observed among participants from high-context cultures represents a critical usability metric often neglected in cross-cultural evaluations, suggesting that metrics derived from low-context populations may misclassify culturally appropriate information processing as inefficiency rather than reflecting genuine usability deficits.

Japanese participants' universal preference for hierarchical communication protocols and honorific language options underscores the significance of linguistic politeness systems as design considerations beyond lexical translation. This finding extends sociolinguistic theory into digital healthcare contexts, demonstrating that culturally embedded communication norms fundamentally shape user expectations and satisfaction in technology-mediated healthcare interactions. Platform developers must recognize that communication patterns reflect deep cultural values rather than superficial preferences amenable to standardization or user retraining.

Video consultation platforms should incorporate culturally adaptive interface configurations that enable users to select communication modalities consistent with their cultural frameworks. These options could include multi-participant consultations, variable levels of contextual information, and linguistically appropriate formality registers. Design processes should adopt participatory approaches that engage culturally diverse stakeholders throughout the development cycle, rather than treating cultural adaptation as a post-development intervention. The study's cross-sectional design prevents assessment of whether cultural communication preferences remain stable with repeated platform use or evolve alongside user familiarity. Longitudinal research examining the evolution of these preferences and processes of technological acculturation would clarify whether culturally adaptive platforms should maintain permanent customization or facilitate gradual transitions toward standardized interfaces. Additionally, future research should investigate intra-cultural variation to avoid essentializing diverse populations within single national or cultural categories.

Privacy Conceptualizations and Trust Formation Across Cultural Contexts

The divergent privacy priorities identified across cultural groups challenge universalistic approaches to healthcare data protection and informed consent. German participants' unanimous requirement for GDPR compliance indicators contrasted markedly with Indonesian and Indian participants' prioritization of family-level privacy over institutional data practices. This reveals that privacy concerns are culturally constructed rather than universally experienced. The collectivist participants' heightened concern about unauthorized family access, compared to institutional surveillance, suggests that privacy safeguards designed for individualist societies may inadequately address the real privacy threats experienced within collectivist contexts, potentially undermining user trust and adoption despite technically robust data security measures.

Trust formation mechanisms also demonstrated cultural specificity. Brazilian participants placed high trust in institutional endorsements, whereas Japanese participants valued platform longevity and user base size. These divergent trust indicators indicate that a single trust-building strategy will not be equally effective across cultural contexts. Instead, platforms should deploy multiple trust signals tailored to distinct cultural expectations. Lebanese participants' concerns over recording permissions highlight how cultural experiences with surveillance and authority shape perceptions of digital healthcare trust, suggesting that historical and political contexts must be considered alongside cultural dimensions when implementing telemedicine.

International telemedicine regulations must reconcile culturally diverse privacy conceptualizations with standardized data protection requirements. Flexible implementation frameworks that balance cultural priorities with baseline security standards are necessary. Informed consent procedures should likewise be culturally adapted to address specific privacy concerns, rather than relying on standardized templates that presume universal privacy norms. Privacy attitudes in this study were assessed through self-report measures, which may not reflect actual behavior. Future research employing experimental designs that manipulate privacy features and directly observe user behavior would yield stronger evidence regarding the practical outcomes of differing privacy priorities.

Visual Semiotics and Aesthetic Preferences in Cross-Cultural Interface Design

The substantial variation in color symbolism, information density preferences, and icon recognition rates documented across cultural groups demonstrates that visual

design elements carry culturally-specific meanings that significantly impact usability and user satisfaction. The Indian participants' negative associations with white color schemes and preference for warm palettes directly contradict dominant Western healthcare design conventions equating white with cleanliness and professionalism, revealing cultural color symbolism as a consequential rather than superficial design consideration. Similarly, the 36-percentage-point difference in preferred information density between Japanese (42%) and Brazilian (78%) participants challenges assumptions of optimal information architecture as culturally neutral, suggesting that cognitive load perceptions and information processing preferences vary systematically across cultural contexts.

The poor icon recognition rates among Indonesian participants (37.5%) for Western medical symbols highlights the problematic assumption of universal visual semiotics in healthcare technology. This finding suggests that visual metaphors and symbolic representations require cultural validation rather than cross-cultural application based on Western medical traditions. The combination of low icon recognition and high information density preferences among certain cultural groups indicates that text-based labeling may be necessary even when icons are employed, contrasting with minimalist design trends prioritizing visual-only communication.

Platform developers should implement culturally adaptive aesthetic configurations that allow users to select color schemes, information density levels, and symbolic representations aligned with their cultural frameworks. Icon libraries should be developed through participatory processes within cultural contexts rather than assuming the cross-cultural transferability of Western medical symbolism. User testing protocols must assess the cultural appropriateness and symbolic meanings of visual design elements, not merely their technical functionality. Experimental studies systematically manipulating visual design elements and measuring comprehension, preference, and clinical communication outcomes across cultural groups would establish causal relationships between design features and usability metrics. Additionally, research examining how cultural aesthetic preferences intersect with accessibility requirements for users with visual impairments would ensure inclusive design approaches.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that cultural and linguistic factors significantly influence user experiences with video consultation platforms across six countries. Linguistic barriers affected 82.2% of non-English-speaking participants, particularly regarding medical terminology and technical text. Cultural communication styles also shaped interface preferences: 82.6% of collectivist participants preferred family-inclusive features, whereas 80% of individualist participants favored streamlined, individual-focused interfaces. Privacy perceptions varied notably across cultures—German participants prioritized GDPR compliance, while Indonesian and Indian participants emphasized family-level privacy. Visual design preferences likewise differed: Japanese participants favored minimalist screens (42%), whereas Brazilian participants preferred information-dense displays (78%), with color symbolism and icon recognition rates ranging from 37.5% to 87.5%.

These findings challenge the notion of universal design, underscoring the importance of developing culturally responsive telemedicine platforms. Developers

must integrate cultural considerations into both design and evaluation processes to advance health equity. Future research should investigate long-term user adaptation, establish cross-cultural usability frameworks, and examine how cultural and other diversity factors intersect to enhance global digital health equity.

REFERENCES

Adamuthe, A. C., & Mane, S. U. (2021). Analyzing the adoption of recent IT technologies in undergraduate engineering project course. Journal of Engineering Education Transformations, 34(Special Issue), 50–56. https://doi.org/10.16920/jeet/2021/v34i0/157105

Basche, A., Krupek, F. S., Chatterjee, N., & Speth, C. (2021). Farm simulation platforms increase undergraduate skills and confidence in decision-support technologies. Natural Sciences Education, 50(1). https://doi.org/10.1002/nse2.20058

Beingolea, J. R., Ramos-Pires, D., Rendulich, J., Zegarra, M., Borja, J., & Fonseca, S. A. S. (2021). Leaflive-db: Classification and data storage of botanical studies. Data, 6(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/data6030029

Bhargava, A., Bester, M., & Bolton, L. (2021). Employees' Perceptions of the Implementation of Robotics, Artificial Intelligence, and Automation (RAIA) on Job Satisfaction, Job Security, and Employability. Journal of Technology in Behavioral Science, 6(1), 106–113. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41347-020-00153-8

Cantini, A., Leoni, L., de Carlo, F., Salvio, M., Martini, C., & Martini, F. (2021). Technological energy efficiency improvements in cement industries. Sustainability (Switzerland), 13(7). https://doi.org/10.3390/su13073810

Erol, I., Ar, I. M., Ozdemir, A. I., Peker, I., Asgary, A., Medeni, I. T., & Medeni, T. (2021). Assessing the feasibility of blockchain technology in industries: evidence from Turkey. Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 34(3), 746–769. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-09-2019-0309

Faridi, M. R., & Sinha, S. (2021). Enigmatic brothers' uniquecorn poised to be a unicorn. Emerald Emerging Markets Case Studies, 11(4), 1–54. https://doi.org/10.1108/EEMCS-01-2021-0016

Furst, M. B., & Lefkoff, R. (2021). Evaluation of a Novel Simulated Consulting Project in an Undergraduate Marketing Course. Journal for Advancement of Marketing Education, 29(2 Special Issue), 42–49. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85139962599&partnerID=40&md5=ea3ff4ebfe52283474d29abe56566eb3

Garg, P., Gupta, B., Chauhan, A. K., Sivarajah, U., Gupta, S., & Modgil, S. (2021). Measuring the perceived benefits of implementing blockchain technology in the banking sector. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120407

Ghandour, A., Kintonova, A., Demidchik, N., & Sverdlikova, E. (2021). Solving Tourism Management Challenges by Means of Mobile Augmented Reality

Applications. International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies, 16(6). https://doi.org/10.4018/IJWLTT.293280

Glória, A., Cardoso, J., & Sebastião, P. (2021). Sustainable irrigation system for farming supported by machine learning and real-time sensor data. Sensors, 21(9). https://doi.org/10.3390/s21093079

Gondhalekar, D., & Drewes, J. E. (2021). Infrastructure shaming and consequences for management of urban wef security nexus in china and india. Water (Switzerland), 13(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/w13030267

Harris, B., Ajisola, M., Alam, R. M., Watkins, J. A., Arvanitis, T. N., Bakibinga, P., Chipwaza, B., Choudhury, N. N., Kibe, P., Fayehun, O., Omigbodun, A., Owoaje, E., Pemba, S., Potter, R., Rizvi, N., Sturt, J., Cave, J., Iqbal, R., Kabaria, C., … Griffiths, F. (2021). Mobile consulting as an option for delivering healthcare services in low-resource settings in low- and middle-income countries: A mixed-methods study. Digital Health, 7. https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076211033425

Hua, N., Zhang, T., Jahromi, M. F., & DeFranco, A. (2021). The speed of change and performance risk: examining the impacts of IT spending in the US hotel industry. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology, 12(3), 563–579. https://doi.org/10.1108/JHTT-07-2020-0180

Hudson, L., & Novák Sedláčková, A. N. (2021). Urban sensing technologies and geospatial big data analytics in internet of things-enabled smart cities. Geopolitics, History, and International Relations, 13(2), 37–50. https://doi.org/10.22381/GHIR13220213

Kaňovská, L. (2021). Barriers to and Benefits of the Use of Smart Farming Technologies for Small and Medium Winemakers, Specifically Sensors and Weather Stations: A Pilot Study. Agris On-Line Papers in Economics and Informatics, 13(1), 71–85. https://doi.org/10.7160/aol.2021.130106

Karacan–Ozdemir, N. K., Ayaz, A., Karaçay, G., & Çorbacı, I. (2021). Ethical Problems Experienced by Counselors during the University Preference Advising Process. Egitim ve Bilim, 46(207), 315–337. https://doi.org/10.15390/EB.2021.8933

Klochan, V., Piliaiev, I., Sydorenko, T., Khomutenko, V., Solomko, A., & Tkachuk, A. (2021). Digital platforms as a tool for the transformation of strategic consulting in public administration. Journal of Information Technology Management, 13, 42–61. https://doi.org/10.22059/JITM.2021.80736

Kramer, K., Wagner, D., & Scheck, B. (2021). Reaping the digital dividend? Sport marketing's move into esports: Insights from Germany. European Journal of International Management, 15(2–3), 339–366. https://doi.org/10.1504/EJIM.2021.113265

Li, J., Zhang, D., & Wulamu, A. (2021). Short Text Paraphrase Identification Model Based on RDN-MESIM. Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6865287

Marzouk, M., & Ashmawy, M. M. (2021). Assessment of highways concrete and asphalt pavements using superiority inferiority ranking technique. Construction Innovation, 21(2), 259–278. https://doi.org/10.1108/CI-10-2019-0107

Medjani, F., & Barnes, S. J. (2021). Understanding the Implementation of Social Customer Relationship Management in the North African Context: An Integrated Theory Perspective. Journal of Global Information Technology Management, 24(4), 299–318. https://doi.org/10.1080/1097198X.2021.1993726

Pawar, R. S., Sonje, S. A., & Shukla, S. (2021). Food subsidy distribution system through Blockchain technology: a value focused thinking approach for prototype development. Information Technology for Development, 27(3), 470–498. https://doi.org/10.1080/02681102.2020.1841714

Pemer, F. (2021). Enacting Professional Service Work in Times of Digitalization and Potential Disruption. Journal of Service Research, 24(2), 249–268. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670520916801

Pransky, J. (2021). The Pransky interview: Charlie Duncheon, robotics serial entrepreneur, CEO and cofounder of Celltrio. Industrial Robot, 48(1), 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1108/IR-09-2020-0213

Rahmati, P., Tafti, A., Mithas, S., & Sachdev, V. (2021). How does the positioning of information technology firms in strategic alliances influence returns to r&d investments? Journal of the Association for Information Systems, 22(2), 383–417. https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00666

Rema, V., & Sikdar, K. (2021). Optimizing Patient Waiting Time in the Outpatient Department of a Multi-specialty Indian Hospital: The Role of Technology Adoption and Queue-Based Monte Carlo Simulation. SN Computer Science, 2(3). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-021-00595-8

Roberts, J. T., Veysey, J., Traver, D., Gross, B., & Cotler, B. (2021). Faster and steeper is feasible: Modeling deeper decarbonization in a Northeastern U.S. State. Energy Research and Social Science, 72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101891

Sha, Y., Feng, T., Xiong, X., & Yang, T. (2021). Designing Online Psychological Consultation Expert System Using Human-Computer Interaction. Mobile Information Systems, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6458924

Shcherbakov, V., & Silkina, G. (2021). Supply chain management open innovation: Virtual integration in the network logistics system. Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 7(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc7010054

Sipior, J. C., Lombardi, D. R., & Gabryelczyk, R. (2021). Information Technology Operational Risk: A Teaching Case. Journal of Computer Information Systems, 61(4), 328–344. https://doi.org/10.1080/08874417.2019.1647767

Stober, D., Suškevičs, M., Eiter, S., Müller, S., Martinát, S., & Buchecker, M. (2021). What is the quality of participatory renewable energy planning in Europe? A

comparative analysis of innovative practices in 25 projects. Energy Research and Social Science, 71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101804

Wallace, M. (2021). The data dilemma: How delaware is responding to the cdc's recommendations on gun violence. Delaware Journal of Public Health, 7(3). https://doi.org/10.32481/djph.2021.07.008

Yasnitsky, L. N., Yasnitsky, V. L., & Alekseev, A. O. (2021). The Complex Neural Network Model for Mass Appraisal and Scenario Forecasting of the Urban Real Estate Market Value That Adapts Itself to Space and Time. Complexity, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5392170

Zhan, L., & Ning, K. (2021). Minority Tourist Information Service and Sustainable Development of Tourism under the Background of Smart City. Mobile Information Systems, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6547186