

Analysis of the Application of Ferrosement Method to Earthquake-Resistant Houses Affects Cost

Moch Afrizal Subakti^{1*}, Yudi Setio Prabowo²

Universitas Serang Raya, Indonesia

Email: mas.cv13@gmail.com^{1*}, yudibabeh27@gmail.com²

*Correspondence

ABSTRACT

Keywords: Earthquake Resistant House, Cost Budget Plan, Ferrosement Technology.

In the context of building earthquake-resistant houses, ferrosement technology can be an effective solution to face unique environmental challenges. The sustainability, strength, and earthquake resistance provided by ferrosement makes it an attractive option to improve the quality and safety of buildings in areas prone to earthquakes. This study will use a comparative method to analyse the cost needs of building earthquake-resistant houses using Ferromen technology. The total cost of materials for ferrosemen houses with type 7 x 6 amounted to 69,783,571,131. Ferrosemen technology in home construction offers significant cost efficiency potential compared to traditional construction methods. This is mainly because the raw materials used in fermenting, such as local soil and fibre, are generally more affordable and widely available. 2. Focus on Strength Ferrocement technology focuses strength on the house's foundation, which uses an inverted T foundation with woven concrete iron, much stronger than the river stone foundation. Although further research and local adaptation are still needed, ferrosement technology is promising as a more economical alternative in house construction. This can be a sustainable solution to improve access to affordable housing for people in various regions, especially developing countries. However, it is important to consider factors such as soil conditions, climate, and availability of local resources in effectively implementing this technology.



Introduction

Areas in earthquake-prone zones often face a high risk of disasters, especially regarding the strength and frequency of earthquakes (NADIA, 2023). Earthquakes can cause serious damage to infrastructure, including people's homes, and can result in significant human and economic losses. Therefore, constructing earthquake-resistant houses is urgently needed in these regions (Ferdinand & Pamadi, 2023).

According to the Indonesian Meteorology, Climatology and Geophysics Agency (BMKG), most parts of Indonesia are in earthquake zones with varying levels of vulnerability. For example, the islands of Java and Sumatra are located on the Pacific

Ring of Fire, one of the most seismically active regions in the world. Major earthquakes here can cause extensive damage to buildings, including people's homes (Jatmiko, 2024).

In this context, constructing earthquake-resistant houses is a key strategy to reduce the risk of damage and protect people's lives and property. By building houses specifically designed to cope with earthquake vibrations, we can reduce the adverse effects that can arise during and after an earthquake (Lauranti, Djamhari, Mawesti, Nurrahmah, & Farhan, 2017).

Ferrosement technology has emerged as an innovative alternative in constructing earthquake-resistant homes to increase the strength and resistance of buildings to earthquakes (Efendi, Taqiuddin, & Evendi, 2022). Ferrosement, also known as "cement mortar composites reinforced with metal," is a construction method that utilises regularly placed cement-metal alloys to increase the durability of a structure (Hermawan, Kusum, & Febrinita, 2022).

The main advantage of ferrosement technology lies in the even and dense distribution of the metal inside the cement matrix. A thin metal layer, often a mesh or mesh, is placed inside the cement structure to provide additional strength (Aditia & Asmariati, 2021). This increases earthquake resistance and improves the structure's tensile strength, reducing the risk of cracking and damage during earthquake events (Shomad, Djatmiati, & Suheryadi, 2018).

One of the advantages of ferrosement technology is its ability to improve adhesion and load distribution throughout the structure. Ferrosement materials offer better resistance to temperature changes, corrosion, and earthquakes, making them particularly suitable for areas within earthquake-prone zones (Mentari & Basuki, 2021).

In the context of building earthquake-resistant houses, ferrosement technology can be an effective solution to face unique environmental challenges. The sustainability, strength, and earthquake resistance provided by ferrosement makes it an attractive option to improve the quality and safety of buildings in areas prone to earthquakes.

Problem Statement

1. What are the advantages of ferrosemen technology in building earthquake-resistant houses?
2. What is the cost of constructing a house using ferrosemen technology?

Research Objectives

1. Assess the effectiveness of ferrosemen technology in building earthquake-resistant houses.
2. Analyze development costs using technology.

Research Benefits

1. Can contribute to the development of earthquake-resistant construction technology.
2. As a medium of information for all stakeholders involved in earthquake-resistant house construction activities.

Research Methods

Research Design

This study will use a comparative method to analyse the cost needs of building earthquake-resistant houses using Ferromen technology.

Research Location

The research location is in Pandeglang Regency, and the selected house is one of the beneficiaries of a government program called Self-Help Housing Stimulant Assistance (BSPS).

Research Variables

a. Controlled Variables

Types of Construction Materials

b. Dependent Variables

House Construction Cost

Data Collection

a. Cost of Construction:

1. Analysis of the cost of construction materials.
2. Comparison of price and availability of ferrosement material.

Data Analysis

a. Analysis Methods:

1. Use of descriptive statistics to analyse cost data

Results and Discussion

The price of materials and work wages

The unit price of materials used is obtained from the Standard Price of Goods and Services of Pandeglang Regency in 2024.

Unit Price Analysis (Ahs)

The Unit Price Analysis (AHS) was calculated using AHSP in 2016, 2021, and 2024 as the standard units of construction work. Job analysis is obtained by multiplying the index by the regional unit price (Arham, Sjaf, & Darusman, 2019).

$AHS = SNI \text{ coefficient} \times \text{Material Unit Price}$.

Calculation of the house cost budget plan with the concept of FERROSEMEN

Table 1

Calculation of the volume of work

No	Types of Jobs	Bahan Material	Unit	Coefficient	Volume of Work	Volume Material
1	Pack. Sand under Foundation	Pasir Urug	m ³	1.2000	2.73 m ³	3.28 m ³

Pack. Foundation							
2	Ironing						
	Iron Concrete (plain/thr eaded)	KG	1.050 0	53.67	Bata ng	53.67	Batang
	Sons of Cut	KG	0.015 0	397.35	Kg	5.96	Kg
Pack. 3 Formwork							
	Multiple 12mm or 18 mm	m3	0.128 0	31.50	m2	4.03	m3
	Kaso 5/7 cm	m3	0.005 0	31.50	m2	0.16	m3
	Spikes of 5 cm and 7 cm	Kg	0.220 0	31.50	m2	6.93	Kg
	Formwor k Oil	Litre	0.200 0	31.50	m2	6.30	Litre
Pack. 4 Foundation							
	Beton Mutu 7.4 Mpa	Semen Portland	kg	227.0 000	1.37 m3	6.20	zak
		Pasir beton	kg	869.0 000	1.37 m3	0.85	m3
		Krikil (Maks 30mm)	kg	1000. 0000	1.37 m3	0.76	m3
		Air	Litre	215.0 000	1.37 m3		
	Quality Concrete 12.2 Mpa	Semen Portland	kg	299.0 000	4.93 m3	29.47	zak
		Pasir concrete	kg	799.0 000	4.93 m3	2.81	m3
		Krikil (Maks 30mm)	kg	1017. 0000	4.93 m3	2.78	m3
		water	Litre	215.0 000	4.93 m3		
Pack. Red 5 Brick Wall							
	Red Brick	buah	71.91 00	112.93	m2	8120.9 4	Buah
	Portland Cement	kg	9.680 0	112.93	m2	21.86	zak
	Install Sand	m3	0.045 0	112.93	m2	5.08	m3
Pack. 6 Plastering							

Analysis of the Application of Ferrosement Method to Earthquake-Resistant Houses Affects Cost

	Semen Portland	kg	5.8880	112.97	m2	13.30	zak
	Install Sand	m3	0.0360	112.97	m2	4.07	m3
Pack. Weaving 7 Wire	Weaving Wire 1mm 2.5cm x 2.5cm		Calculation Results Based on Independent Analysis	134.23	m2	134.23	m2
	Paku Payung			3355.84	Buah	3355.84	Buah
	Bendrat Wire			22.55	Kg	22.55	Kg
Pack. Floor 8 Rebates	Portland Cement	kg	227.000	2.10	m3	9.53	zak
	Concrete sand	kg	869.000	2.10	m3	1.30	m3
	Krikil (Maks 30mm)	kg	1000.0000	2.10	m3	1.17	m3
	water	Litre	215.000	2.10	m3		
Pack. Roof 9 Frame	Main Truss C075-75	m'	2.8000	83.14	m2	38.80	Stem
	Roof Bottom/Reng R 33-0,45	m'	5.1000	83.14	m2	70.67	Stem
	Self Drilling Screw dia 6 x 20 (Truss Screw)	buah	25.0000	83.14	m2	20.79	Bungkus
	Self Drilling Screw dia 4 x 16 (Roof Batten Screw)	buah	35.0000	83.14	m2	29.10	Bungkus

	Dynabol dia 12 x 120 mm	buah	1.000 0	83.14	m2	83.14	Buah
Pack. Roof							
10	Coverings						
	Sandy Metal Roof	m2	1.625 0	80.28	m2	130.46	m2
	Ordinary nails	Kg	0.200 0	80.28	m2	16.06	Kg
Pek. Nok							
11	Genteng						
	Nok genteng metal 100cm	buah	1.100 0	9.00	m'	9.90	buah
	Nail skrup 1/2' - 1'	Kg	0.050 0	9.00	m'	0.45	Kg

Table 2
Amount of Fees

No	Item Name	Volume	Price	Sum
1	Pasir Urug	3.28 m3	410,000.00	1,343,160.00
2	Pasir Beton	4.96 m3	534,000.00	2,650,225.79
3	Install Sand	5.08 m3	543,000.00	2,759,493.42
4	Fattening Concrete 10 mm	53.67 Batang	111,000.00	5,957,000.00
5	Sons of Cut	28.51 Kg	37,640.00	1,073,171.54
6	Multiplek 12 mm	4.03 m3	367,000.00	1,479,744.00
7	Caso 5/7cm	0.16 m3	2,339,000.00	368,392.50
7	Formwork Oil	6.30 Litre	30,000.00	189,000.00
8	Mixed Spikes	22.99 Kg	57,000.00	1,310,202.00
9	Semen Portland @50kg	80.36 pocket	73,000.00	5,866,593.67
10	Krikil (max 30mm)	4.71 m3	414,000.00	1,949,541.53
11	Red Brick	8120.94 Buah	1,000.00	8,120,940.12
12	Kawat Anyam 1 mm 2.5cm x 2.5cm	134.23 m2	83,000.00	11,141,388.80
13	Paku Payung	3355.84 Buah	114.00	382,565.76

14	Main Truss C075-75	38.80	Batang	152,000.00	5,897,459.58
15	Roof Bottom/Ring 33-0.45	70.67	Batang	98,000.00	6,925,635.10
16	Self Drilling Screw dia 6 x 20 (Truss Screw)	20.79	Bungku s	21,700.00	451,039.26
17	Self Drilling Screw dia 4 x 16 (Roof Batten Screw)	29.10	Bungku s	74,000.00	2,153,348.73
18	Dynabol is 12 x 120 mm	83.14	Buah	32,000.00	2,660,508.08
19	Sand-coating metal tile	130.46	m2	44,750.00	5,837,861.25
20	Nok genteng metal 100cm	9.90	buah	126,000.00	1,247,400.00
21	Paaku Scruple 1/2' - 1'	0.45	Kg	42,000.00	18,900.00
Total					69,783,571.13

Conclusion

The conclusions of this study can be outlined as follows:

1. Cost Efficiency

Ferrosement technology in home construction offers significant cost efficiency potential compared to traditional construction methods. This is mainly because the raw materials used in fermenting, such as local soil and fibre, are generally more affordable and widely available.

2. Power Focus

Ferrocement technology focuses strength on the house's foundation, which uses an inverted T foundation with woven concrete iron, much stronger than the river stone foundation.

Although further research and local adaptation are still needed, ferrosement technology holds promise as a more economical alternative in house construction. This can be a sustainable solution to improve access to affordable housing for people in various regions, especially developing countries. However, it is important to consider factors such as soil conditions, climate, and availability of local resources in effectively implementing this technology.

Bibliography

- Aditia, Ezra, & Asmariati, Rini. (2021). Kajian Arah Pemanfaatan Ruang Berdasarkan Tingkat Kerentanan Abrasi Pantai Di Kecamatan Koto Tangah Kota Padang. *Jurnal Rekayasa*, 11(2), 85–101.
- Arham, Ihsan, Sjaf, S., & Darusman, D. (2019). Perencanaan Pembangunan Desa Pertanian Berkelanjutan Berbasis Citra Drone (Studi Kasus Desa Sukadamai Kabupaten Bogor) Ihsan Arham. *Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor*.
- Efendi, Iwan, Taqiuddin, Muh, & Evendi, Azhari. (2022). Konstruksi Sosial Pengurangan Risiko Bencana Berbasis Masyarakat di Kawasan Ekonomi Khusus Mandalika. *RESIPROKAL: Jurnal Riset Sosiologi Progresif Aktual*, 4(1), 73–93. <https://doi.org/10.29303/resiprokal.v4i1.129>
- Ferdinand, Ferdinand, & Pamadi, Mulia. (2023). Perbandingan Biaya Pembangunan Rumah: SNI 2008, AHSP 2022 atau Perhitungan Kontraktor? *Jurnal Ilmiah Telsinas Elektro, Sipil Dan Teknik Informasi*, 6(2), 172–187.
- Hermawan, Muhammad Khoirudda'I, Kusum, Abdi Pandu, & Febrinita, Filda. (2022). Perancangan Sistem Pengelolaan Keuangan Hasil Panen Pertanian Di Desa Sidodadi Kabupaten Blitar. *JATI (Jurnal Mahasiswa Teknik Informatika)*, 6(2), 773–781.
- Jatmiko, Koko. (2024). *Studi Pembangunan Jalan Perkotaan Berdasarkan Kinerja Jaringan Jalan Ruas Jalan Layar*. Universitas Sangga Buana Ypkp.
- Lauranti, Maria, Djamhari, Eka Afrina, Mawesti, Dia, Nurrahmah, Baiti, & Farhan, Farhan. (2017). *Ekuitas Kesehatan Bagi Masyarakat Miskin dan Hampir Miskin di Indonesia (JKN)*. Perkumpulan PRAKARSA.
- Mentari, Yunita, & Basuki, Imam. (2021). *Analisis Karakteristik Pengguna Angkutan Online Di Kota Palembang Pada Masa Pandemi Covid-19*.
- NADIA, NADIA. (2023). *Dampak Pembangunan Kafe Terhadap Kebiasaan Generasi Millenial Ditinjau Dari Segi Sosial Dan Ekonomi (Studi Kafe Teras Teduh Desa Pattimang, Kab. Luwu Utara)*. Institut Agama Islam Negeri (Iain) Palopo.
- Shomad, Abd, Djatmiati, Tatiek Sri, & Suheryadi, Bambang. (2018). *Rancangan Model Pemberdayaan Aparatur Desa melalui Peningkatan Profesionalitas Dalam Pengelolaan Dana Desa*.